

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,172	07/30/2003		Reiner Marchthaler	10191/3122 1408	
26646	7590	07/22/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON ONE BROA		ON	LAI, ANNE VIET NGA		
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2636	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	¢ (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/632,172	MARCHTHALER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anne V. Lai	2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortices are provided to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	oril 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-9</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6-9</u> is/are rejected.	•					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` '				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	1 11					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				

Attachment(s)	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/632,172

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Thompson et al** [US. 2003/0090376] in view of **Pajon** [US. 6,652,000].

In claim 1, **Thompson et al** disclose a system for classifying occupants of a vehicle ([0001], [0052]), comprising at least a sound-wave transmitter and a sound-wave receiver situated in a seat of the vehicle ([0026]-[0027]; figs. 2, 5, 9, 12A); a processor [0052] coupled to the transmitter and receiver for determining the deformation of the seat (due to weight) by measuring amplitude (loading, current), phase and frequency changes of waves (current) transmitted between the transmitter and the receiver [0061]. **Pajon** teaches a system for detecting occupant of a vehicle comprising a processor for measuring propagation values of the transmitted wave to determine the deformation of the seat. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made the shift in phase of a transmitted wave is a result of change in propagation time of the wave, the teaching of Pajon shows that the wave propagation time can be used to determine the deformation of the seat.

In claims 2 and 3, the transmitter and the receiver of Thompson can be piezoelectric [0027], therefore reversible transmit and receive is inherent (applicant specification page 1, lines 19-21).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,172 Page 3

Art Unit: 2636

In claims 6-7, Thompson et al disclose two layers of array of electrodes [0063] therefore some transmitters and receivers are situated in horizontal and some in vertical positions with each other.

In claim 8, although Thompson et al do not specify the at least one transmitter is situated in a pressure-free manner, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, people of different sizes seat on an array of transmitters in a seat cushion would cover different areas in size therefore some transmitters would be situated in a pressure-free manner.

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Thompson et al** and **Pajon** in view of **Wallace** [6,609,054] and further in view of **Filipov et al** [US. 2003/0122669].

Thompson et al and Pajon fail to disclose determine the ageing of the receiver.

Wallace teaches calibrating the detector (sensor) for effect of aging is needed for the vehicle occupant classification system (abstract; col. 38, lines 43-51), and Filipov et al teach detecting changes in amplitude (voltage), frequency (current) and phase of the transmitted waveform to determine seat deformation and to determine wave receiver (electrode) fault ([0026], [0028], [0033]-[0038]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made the relative aging of the detector (wave receiver) needs to be calculated to bring out an effective measure for obtaining reliable detection result and the method of detecting the fault by aging can be carried out using existing measuring means of system.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/632,172

Art Unit: 2636

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: **Cobb et al** and **Gray et al** address concerns on the effect of seat aging to improve the vehicle occupant weight detection system.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne V. Lai whose telephone number is 571-272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The

Art Unit: 2636

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NU

A. V. Lai July 14, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600